


# **Natural Resources and Environment**

"Polk County has clean and plentiful natural resources for a healthy environment."

## **Primary Factors for Achieving the Result**

As shown below on the Natural Resources and Environment causal map, the primary factors affecting the Polk County Board of County Commissioners' (BoCC) ability to meet the residents' expectations are:

- 1. Clean Natural Resources
- 2. Plentiful Natural Resources
- 3. Educated Public



#### Strategies for Achieving the Result

The programs in this result area are in our Parks and Natural Resources Division and Waste and Recycling Division. They were submitted to the Natural Resources and

Environment Result Area because they help the County achieve the result through one or more of the following strategies:

- 1. Maintain and/or improve clean natural resources of the County.
- 2. Provide, maintain, and restore plentiful natural resources of the County.
- 3. Provide programs that educate the public on the character and value of natural resources, initiatives that help protect those resources, and the public's role in providing good stewardship.

# NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT DIVISION PROGRAM SUMMARY

		Adopted FY 21/22			Plan FY 22/23		
Program	FTE	General	Other Funds/	Total	General	Other Funds/	Total
(number listed is the program number)	112	Fund	Sources	Total	Fund	Sources	Total
Parks and Natural Resources							
Parks and Natural Resources Administration - 67	9.00	584,765	11,711	596,476	602,062	12,120	614,182
Environmental Lands Mgmt. Reserves/Other - 334	0.00	478,417	41,445,657	41,924,074	341,987	40,065,318	40,407,305
Natural Resources CIP - 211	0.00	-	5,445,775	5,445,775	-	3,965,000	3,965,000
Environmental Lands Acquisition CIP - 72	0.00	-	2,550,804	2,550,804	-	524,428	524,428
Environmental Lands Acqu. Reserves/Transfers - 335	0.00	-	1,063,363	1,063,363	-	541,714	541,714
Environmental Lands Mgmt 78	9.25	1,873,668	212,900	2,086,568	1,810,779	150,900	1,961,679
Invasive Plant Management - 71	6.60	1,251,298	-	1,251,298	1,268,659	-	1,268,659
Mosquito Control - 69	14.00	1,988,021	101,376	2,089,397	1,953,681	100,000	2,053,681
Water Resources - 1162	11.15	1,155,267	2,606,277	3,761,544	1,113,411	1,100,513	2,213,924
Natural Resources Reserves/Oth - 226	0.00	-	2,429,475	2,429,475	ı	88,549	88,549
Subtotal	50.00	7,331,436	55,867,338	63,198,774	7,090,579	46,548,542	53,639,121
Waste and Recycling							
Community Clean-Up Reserves - 377	0.00	-	15,800	15,800	-	-	-
Waste Recycling Operations CIP - 288	0.00	-	26,005,904	26,005,904	-	8,627,201	8,627,201
Waste Recycling Closure CIP - 323	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	=
Landfill Operations - 1187	44.00	-	7,926,331	7,926,331	-	8,447,650	8,447,650
Residential Waste Management Collection - 1188	12.00	-	31,994,122	31,994,122	-	30,159,140	30,159,140
Community Clean-Up - 98	0.00	185,000	-	185,000	195,000	-	195,000
Waste Recycling Reserves/Other - 287	0.00	-	193,483,478	193,483,478	-	197,251,461	197,251,461
Subtotal	56.00	185,000	259,425,635	259,610,635	195,000	244,485,452	244,680,452
TOTAL BoCC	106.00	7,516,436	315,292,973	322,809,409	7,285,579	291,033,994	298,319,573

Programs highlighted in gray are not printed

# **Natural Resources and Environment**

Appropriations		Actual FY 18/19	Budget FY 19/20	Adopted FY 20/21	Plan FY 21/22
Personal Services Expenses		5,732,690	7,116,983	7,401,319	7,665,637
Operating Expenses		31,166,908	35,090,590	34,723,800	36,291,989
Capital	Expenses	6,232,092	35,194,240	31,537,504	13,169,538
Grants A	And Aids	73,305	15,011	110,012	110,014
Interfun	d Transfers	13,355,496	5,884,431	6,024,230	5,651,867
Indirect	Expense	509,378	648,101	555,906	555,906
InKind E	Expense	301,713	59,146	47,310	47,310
Reserve	es	0	195,308,501	193,157,330	193,696,554
Other U	ses - All Other	300	0	0	0
County	Total	57,371,882	279,317,003	273,557,411	257,188,815
FFWCC	Herbicide	911,552	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000
Total R	esult Area	58,283,434	280,717,003	274,957,411	258,588,815
	Revenue by Fund	Actual FY 18/19	Budget FY 19/20	Adopted FY 20/21	Plan FY 21/22
00100	General Fund	4,821,074	6,486,564	7,062,130	7,105,005
10150	Special Revenue Grants	1,332,712	220,753	141,672	94,620
14930	Leisure Services MSTU Funds	0	12,275	2,625	2,625
15010	Land Management Nonexpendable Trust Funds	1,582,339	40,624,401	41,697,988	40,394,481
18000	Stormwater MSTU	2,743,754	9,308,039	8,822,098	6,802,988
30200	Drainage and Water Quality Fund	187,173	0	0	0
31200	Environmental Land Acquisition Funds	301,769	2,402,346	2,258,400	1,265,574
41010	Solid Waste Funds	50,255,010	109,449,032	93,664,365	77,733,451
41110	Landfill Closure Funds	10,736,066	73,303,814	79,882,613	83,039,824
41210	Universal Solid Waste Collection Funds	20,949,795	37,509,779	39,713,020	40,750,247
41310	Community Cleanup Funds	104,894	0	312,500	0
County	Total	93,014,586	279,317,003	273,557,411	257,188,815
FFWCC Herbicide		911,552	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000
Total R	esult Area	93,926,138	280,717,003	274,957,411	258,588,815
	Personnel				
Full Tim	e Equivalents	101	104	106	106

#### TRENDS AND ISSUES

The programs in this Result Area are from the Parks and Natural Resources Division and the Waste and Recycling Division. Residents have stated that they expect Polk County to have clean and plentiful natural resources for a healthy environment. To address this expectation, these programs focus on clean natural resources, such as water quality, air quality, and soil quality, plentiful natural resources through smart growth, land acquisition, and restoration, and educating the public with partnerships, marketing, and other educational programs. The narrative below presents the trends and issues affecting the natural resources and environment related divisions and programs.

#### **Parks and Natural Resources**

In 2011, Polk County received a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, which placed multiple new requirements on the County such as street sweeping, outfall location and evaluation, public education, additional restoration projects, pet waste control, fertilizer regulation, and more roadway pipe inspections. To continue enhancing services required by the permit, the Parks and Natural Resources Division received additional funding through a Stormwater MSTU established in FY 13/14 and continues this budget year. The permit was reissued in 2016 with the same requirements, and sometime in 2021 a new permit will be issued with additional requirements. The MSTU is estimated to generate about \$2.46 million in FY 22/23 to fund some of the permit requirements and enhance water quality in Polk County. The Board established a Stormwater Technical Advisory Committee to assist in providing oversight in selecting projects and monitoring permit implementation. The Advisory Committee's first round of water quality enhancement Community Investment Plan (CIP) projects has been included in the County's CIP and work continues to progress. The County is partnering with municipalities, Southwest Florida Water Management District, and others whenever feasible. The current funding level is anticipated to be sufficient for this part of the Water Resources program for the foreseeable future. Significant progress will be reported over the next few years on various projects; in 2021 the County completed the construction/restoration of Lake Gwyn Stormwater Treatment Wetland and in partnership with the City of Winter Haven completed the Lake Conine Stormwater Treatment Wetland. Through Legislative approval of HB 53, the County will conduct a needs assessment report for stormwater regarding quality and quantity. This report will address gaps in funding and projects to address water quality concerns in Polk.

As a special note, COVID pandemic and related issues will continue to impact the way the Division does business for the foreseeable future. Workload and service methods continue to be examined and adjusted as new information becomes available and based on the available resources at the time.

#### Waste and Recycling

Last year, Polk County generated approximately 1.1 million tons of municipal solid waste of which approximately 404,000 tons were reported as recycled. Landfilling was the dominant disposal method for Polk County, as well as the state and nation, due to its

flexibility to process solid waste tonnage volatility, cost-effectiveness, and flexibility. Since February 2020, Polk County's residential waste generation has shown a steady increase. This growth was associated with the Governor's "stay-at-home" order as the result of COVID.

Recycling markets continue to show a downward trend due to market distribution channel interruptions, poor material quality, and low demand for post-consumer feedstock in the production of new products. To minimize any adverse impact to the County's curbside recycling program, Waste & Recycling continues to emphasize its core program goal of providing highly valuable post-consumer materials (dry cardboard, aluminum & steel cans, newspaper, and opaque HDPE milk, water, and juice jugs to manufacturers to produce new products.

#### **Environmental Lands Management**

Program Number:	78
Result Area:	Natural Resources and Environment
Division:	Parks and Natural Resources
Section:	N/A

#### Program Offer Description:

Manage acquired environmentally-sensitive lands for the purpose of preserving, protecting, and restoring important water, wildlife, and wilderness resources in Polk County, increase natural resource knowledge, and manage compatible passive outdoor recreation for appropriate sites, in accordance with Ordinances 94-40 and 08-003. This program assists with providing important natural resources for a healthy environment. This program may participate in emergencies through complaint investigation and environmental/drainage repair if necessary.

#### II. Contribution to Result:

Environmental Lands Management Program (ELMP) contributes Natural Resources/Environment results area by: Strategy 1: The ELMP meets strategy 1 by maintaining and improving the natural resources of the County. Managing the natural vegetation allows the plants to clean the air of pollutants and prevent soil erosion. The practice of fencing secures the sites to reduce illegal dumping, better protecting the soil from hazardous contamination. Managing the marshes assists with the wetlands serving as filters of pollutants from water, creating better water quality. By maintaining the majority of the environmental lands free of infrastructure, recharge for drinking water is allowed to occur on the property. Prescribed fire and invasive plant management encourages native vegetation growth, which provides for healthy wildlife environment. Managing environmental lands assists in providing clean natural resources.

Strategy 2: ELMP in reference to strategy 2 maintains plentiful natural resources and environmental space. The management of Polk County's environmental lands increases diverse natural resources through restoration projects, prescribed burning, and invasive species removal. The ELMP monitoring program assists with obtaining knowledge of important species and provides direction for adaptive management to protect those species and create plentiful diversity in plants and animals.

Strategy 3: The ELMP meets strategy 3 by providing programs that educate the public on the character and value of natural resources through Polk's Nature Discovery Center Programming. Conservation classes are taught, guided tours are given explaining the importance of natural resources, and youth are reached through special environmental education projects. Initiatives have been undertaken to explain the public's role in assisting with providing good stewardship to natural resources. Special emphasis has been on informing the public on the effect of actions on natural resources and environmental space and encouraging citizens to take positive action for the protection of the environment. Partnerships with organizations both public and private have been used to reach this objective. Coordination with volunteers has increased the environmental education programs available to the public and youth.

This Program is:	Not Mandated ( )	Mandated: Federal (	) State ( )	Local (X)

#### III. Performance Objectives:

- 1 Develop and update natural resource/recreation management plans on acquired sites
- 2 Coordinate management activities on all sites
- Provide direct management activities on sites designated as lead managing agency (security, habitat enhancement, etc.)
- 4 Provide and manage for appropriate nature-based recreation opportunities
- 5 Coordinate and conduct community outreach programs, educational tours, presentations, and volunteer workdays on acquired sites
- 6 Control invasive, exotic species on acquired sites, as needed
- 7 Perform prescribed burns (fires) on acquired sites, as needed if weather conditions allow

# **Environmental Lands Management**

	Key Obj.	Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Workload Indicators:					
# of different site inspections and/or trailhead maintenance	2	359	425	400	400
# of monitoring surveys completed	2	3	2	2	2
# of acres directly managed by the County	3	17,525	17,525	17,525	17,525
# of acres where nature-based recreation opportunities are	4	18,961	18,961	18,961	18,961
# of presentations regarding community outreach programs, volunteer workdays, educational tours, & special events	5	207	375	300	300
# of surveys for invasive species	6	89	125	120	120
# of acres managed with prescribed burns	7	1,122	500	500	500
Effectiveness Indicators:					
% of sites maintained and inspected for site security every 30 days	3	87%	85%	85%	85%
% of sites with nature based recreation open a minimum of 330	4	87%	90%	90%	90%
% of implemented prescribed burns that met fire management	7	90%	80%	80%	80%
Efficiency Indicators:					
Natural resource management cost/acre	2	\$19	\$17	\$18	\$18
Nature-based recreation management cost/acre	4	\$23	\$21	\$23	\$23

Significant Changes
There are no significant changes in this program.

Personnel:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Full Time Equivalents		10.50	9.90	9.25	9.25
Funding Sources:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund Subsidy		28,711	147,299	310,110	247,693
Interest		27,747	11,812	4,545	4,073
Interfund Transfer		1,103,867	1,500,000	1,507,000	1,507,000
Intergovernmental		1,078	0	128,495	66,495
Miscellaneous		66,638	86,471	63,688	63,688
Others (Centrl I/D Inkind/Othr)		0	0	72,730	72,730
	Total Program	1,228,041	1,745,582	2,086,568	1,961,679
Revenue by Fund:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund		1,221,957	1,745,582	1,873,668	1,810,779
Special Revenue Grants		6,084	0	212,900	150,900
	Total Program	1,228,041	1,745,582	2,086,568	1,961,679
Appropriations:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Personal Services Expenses		553,459	673,924	639,487	662,390
Operating Expenses		640,366	882,158	1,219,351	1,141,559
Capital Expenses		22,216	189,500	155,000	85,000
Interfund Transfers		12,000	0	0	0
InKind Expense		0	0	72,730	72,730
	Total Program	1,228,041	1,745,582	2,086,568	1,961,679

#### **Invasive Plant Management**

Program Number:	71
Result Area:	Natural Resources and Environment
Division:	Parks and Natural Resources
Section:	N/A

#### I. Program Offer Description:

This program safeguards water, reduces pollution, helps the environmental health of lakes and creeks, conserves/promotes native vegetation for wildlife/fish habitats, and provides recreational opportunities for residents. Unwanted invasive weeds grow extremely fast and have no natural enemies to keep them under control without intervention. Without this program, every public access lake would ultimately be covered with undesirable invasive weeds, choking the lake's life and ruining opportunities for recreation. Polk's lakes are a prime attractor for residents, new residents, and tourists to engage in outdoor activities, which keep millions of dollars flowing through the local economy. Water quality is enhanced with long-term invasive plant control. This program also provides a clean and balanced environment by assisting in managing natural lands under the Environmental Lands Program.

#### II. Contribution to Result:

IPM Program contributes to Natural Resources and Environment results area by:

STRATEGY 1: Maintain and/or Improve Clean Natural Resources of the County.

IPM is crucial for maintaining and keeping natural resources clean by improving the quality of lakes and creeks and promoting healthy native plant communities through managing invasive plants. IPM's rapid response to new invasive plant infestations prevents spreading. Healthy and diverse native plant communities are allowed to thrive following invasive plant control, creating valuable habitat for fish, birds, and other wildlife. IPM also increases environmental health by controlling invasive plant infestations that add to lake bottom muck layers improving water quantity and quality. IPM also prevents the erosion and contamination of soils by using responsible herbicide application methods on shorelines and canals to preserve and enhance native plant populations that help to hold soils in place. IPM has increased effectiveness and efficiency because normal operations are in routine maintenance mode. IPM focuses on prevention rather than dealing with severe problems after they occur. IPM creates swimming opportunities by managing invasive plants that create stagnant conditions that cause growth of slimy green algae and associated potential disease-causing microbes. IPM assists with maintaining lakes and creeks to prevent blockages that may cause home flooding, unsanitary conditions, and stagnant pools that can breed disease-causing microorganisms, as well as reducing mosquito breeding areas therefore reducing threat of mosquito-transmitted diseases to humans. IPM complies with all local regulations and State and Federal guidelines. IPM seeks out and is actively involved in research with UF, the Army Corps of Engineers, FFWCC, and chemical corporations to find new herbicides, innovative application methods, and best management practices including bio-control.

STRATEGY 2: Provide, Maintain, and Restore Plentiful Natural Resources and Environmental Space IPM's practice of maintaining and restoring natural plant diversity around lakes and on environmental lands through reduction of invasive species allows native plant communities to increase and provides habitat for abundant wildlife. IPM is involved in management of natural areas for protection of plentiful resources, assists in design, setup, and maintenance of re-vegetation along lakeshores, restores native vegetation on natural lands through invasive plant treatment, assists with prescribed fires, and performs a wide range of environmental land management. As the population of the County grows, stress on the ecology of lakes and natural areas grow as well. IPM strives to meet resident expectations of a clean, healthy environment containing plentiful natural resources. Priorities include reducing invasive plant numbers in the short term, encouraging environmental conditions supporting the recruitment of native plant communities which increase an array of wildlife abundance in the midterm, and promote success of diverse native plant communities and wildlife in the long term. STRATEGY 3 - Provide Programs That Educate the Public

IPM encourages sense of individual responsibility of one's own effect on the environment by educating the public on understanding the negative role of invasive plants and how they personally can reduce the introduction of new invasive plant species. resident contact is maximized by participation in special events and daily contact with the public. Resident input is obtained through public presentations and from lakeshore neighbors and user groups.

Not Mandated ( )	Mandated: Federal ( ) State ( ) Local ( X )

#### **Invasive Plant Management**

#### III. Performance Objectives:

- Keep exotic vegetation at desired maintenance control levels; hydrilla control at 90% and floating plants (water lettuce, water hyacinth) at 90% of the County's 84 public access lakes and provide habitat for native vegetation and associated wildlife
- Continue to support the Environmental Lands program by providing assistance with invasive plant management, prescribed fires, property maintenance, and other related duties
- Conduct community outreach program, educational tours, presentations, respond to resident inquiries regarding aquatic ecosystem management, and solicit resident opinion concerning their satisfaction with management of the environment with emphasis on the aquatic ecosystem
- Allow for opportunities for recreation on lakes and streams and reduce potential home flooding adjacent to lakes and streams

#### IV. Measures:

	Key Obj.	Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Workload Indicators:					
# of public access lakes under maintenance control for water hyacinth, water lettuce, and hydrilla	1	83	78	80	80
Miles of lake shore surveyed/treated for invasive aquatic weeds	1	1,570	2,000	1,600	1,600
Acres of upland invasive plants treated on Polk County Environmental Lands	2	90	2	80	80
# of responses to resident inquiries on invasive aquatic plant program	3	160	175	150	150
Effectiveness Indicators:					
% of public access lakes under maintenance control for water hyacinth and water lettuce	1	98%	95%	95%	95%
% of public access lakes under maintenance control for hydrilla	1	90%	95%	90%	90%
% of resident inquiries responded to within 48 business hours	3	93%	95%	95%	95%
% of lakeshore miles treated/surveyed	1	30%	40%	45%	45%
# special events conducted on public access lakes due to lakes under maintenance control	4	190	130	150	150
Efficiency Indicators:					
Total cost per acre of water hyacinths/water lettuce treated	1	\$162	\$290	\$290	\$290
Total cost per acre of hydrilla treated	1	\$853	\$956	\$956	\$956

#### **Significant Changes**

There are no significant changes for this program

# **Invasive Plant Management**

Personnel:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Full Time Equivalents		6.30	6.40	6.60	6.60
Funding Sources:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund Subsidy		426,237	461,252	517,497	534,858
Stormwater MSTU		0	4,928	0	0
Intergovernmental		239,188	526,200	526,200	526,200
Miscellaneous		196,489	207,601	207,601	207,601
FFWCC Herbicide	County Total Total Program	861,914 1,429,906 2,291,820	1,199,981 1,400,000 2,599,981	1,251,298 1,400,000 2,651,298	1,268,659 1,400,000 2,668,659
Revenue by Fund:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund Stormwater MSTU	County Total	861,914 0 861,914	1,195,053 4,928 1,199,981	1,251,298 0 1,251,298	1,268,659 0 1,268,659
FFWCC Herbicide	County Total	1,429,906	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000
	Total Program	2,291,820	2,599,981	2,651,298	2,668,659
Appropriations:		Actual	Budget	Adopted	Plan
		FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23
Personal Services Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Expenses		406,870 425,578 29,466	420,669 740,912 38,400	455,188 754,110 42,000	472,216 753,183 43,260
FFWCC Herbicide	County Total  Total Program	861,914 1,429,906 2,291,820	1,199,981 1,400,000 2,599,981	1,251,298 1,400,000 2,651,298	1,268,659 1,400,000 2,668,659

#### **Mosquito Control**

Program Number:	69
Result Area:	Natural Resources and Environment
Division:	Parks and Natural Resources
Section:	N/A

#### I. Program Offer Description:

Since 1958, the mission of Mosquito Control has been to achieve and maintain effective Countywide mosquito control levels in order to protect human health and safety, foster environmental health and quality of life, and facilitate the enjoyment of natural resources and attractions by reducing the number of disease-carrying and nuisance mosquitos. The program accomplishes this by conducting comprehensive and integrated mosquito management program using scientifically-sound practices to reduce the numbers of nuisance and mosquito-borne disease-carrying species. Program services meet local, state, and federal regulations.

#### II. Contribution to Result:

Strategy #1: The program has maintained and improved the environmental health and safety of the County by:

A) Integrated Mosquito Management measures are undertaken year-round to ensure that mosquito populations are reduced and mosquito-borne diseases do not become a major public health threat in the County. Polk County has over 45 species of mosquitos, and many are capable of transmitting mosquito-borne diseases such as Zika, Chikungunya, West Nile Virus, Dengue fever, Eastern Equine Encephalitis, St. Louis Encephalitis, etc. These mosquitos require intervention to keep them at a manageable, low level.

- B) Integrated Mosquito Management efforts include the Countywide reduction of mosquito breeding sources and biological or chemical control efforts. All residents and visitors in Polk County receive benefit.
- C) The program educates the public about littering and illegal dumping of materials such as tires, buckets, and containers which can hold water and form breeding habitats for mosquitos.

Strategy #2: The program provides and maintains the natural resources of the County by:

- A) Maintaining and/or improving native wildlife species by reducing mosquito-borne diseases which affect them. Various species of birds and horses are very susceptible to West Nile Virus (WNV) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis (EEE), respectively.
- B) Preserving environmentally-sensitive lands and ecosystems by using environmentally-sustainable pesticides and/or environmental management techniques including breeding source reduction when controlling mosquitos in sensitive areas.

Strategy # 3: The program continues to educate the public on mosquito control and promote partnerships with private and public organizations by:

- A) Public educational tools, such as Mosquito Awareness Week, press releases, Town Hall meetings, Homeowners Association Outreach, printed materials, media interviews, and others. These outreach programs educate residents and homeowners on how to protect themselves from mosquitos and how to reduce or eliminate mosquito breeding habitats in their community. The program will continue to reduce potential community risks of mosquito-borne diseases and raise mosquito awareness through speaking engagements, pamphlets, and direct contact with residents.
- B) Offsetting costs and increasing effectiveness by partnering with agencies such as the State of Florida Departments of Health and of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS), from whom the County receives \$41,646 in mosquito control annual grant money. This money is only available to state-approved mosquito control programs. The Polk County Mosquito Control Program collaborates with state and county health departments, the Florida Mosquito Control Association, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the American Mosquito Control Association, and Tampa Virology Laboratory. Mosquitos are submitted to Tampa Virology to be tested for West Nile Virus, Eastern Equine Encephalitis, St. Louis Encephalitis, Highlands J. Virus, and others.
- C) Partnering with the Code Enforcement Division and others so that Polk County will continue to have reduced levels of mosquito breeding sources and diseases. In this way, the program helps to eliminate illegal tire and trash dumping which create poor environmental health conditions. This also reduces the risks of diseases, such as Dengue Fever and Zika Virus, which affects 50,000,000 people per year worldwide.
- D) Mosquito Control ranked in the top ten of property tax-based services in Polk County that residents regard as absolutely critical in an independent study conducted by Dr. Susan MacManus of the University of South Florida's Institute of Government in 2008. This program also implements the Board's Mosquito Control Policy adopted in 2009.

This Program is: Not Mandated ( )	Mandated: Federal ( ) State ( ) Local ( X )
-----------------------------------	---

#### **Mosquito Control**

#### III. Performance Objectives:

- Conduct Countywide control measures by source reduction, biological and chemical controls to reduce mosquito numbers, and protecting public health
- Quick response time (within seven days) to resident service requests while continuing to answer inspection requests within three days
- 3 Evaluate effectiveness of control strategies and monitor mosquitos for resistance to pesticides
- Track mosquito numbers by using mosquito traps and larval mosquito inspections as required by state law (Chapter5E-13036 FAC)
- Educate the County's residents and visitors by outreach, public education, public events, press releases, and speaking engagements
- Partner with agencies to optimize collaborative mosquito reduction efforts, thereby leveraging costs and reducing disease outbreaks (Code Enforcement, State Virology Lab, FDACS, and Health Department)

iv. Measures.	Kev	Actual	Budget	Adopted	Plan
	Obj.	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23
Workload Indicators:					
# acres treated for adult mosquitos	1	542,827	800,000	750,000	700,000
# acres treated for mosquito larvae	1	5,632	12,000	10,500	12,000
# of citizen service requests	2	1,714	1,500	1,750	1,200
# traps set and counted	4	3,168	3,500	3,300	3,200
# public educational events/# of people contacted	5	38/3,177	10/600	8/850	10/450
Effectiveness Indicators:					
% acres treated: mosquito adults	1	68%	90%	90%	90%
% acres treated: mosquito larvae	1	47%	90%	90%	90%
% requests responded to in timely manner	2	95%	95%	90%	95%
% public educational events	5	>100	90%	90%	95%
Efficiency Indicators:					
Aerial adulticide direct cost/acre	1	\$1.36	\$1.50	\$1.50	\$1.50
Aerial larvicide direct cost/acre	1	\$40.40	\$18.12	\$22.00	\$30.00
Ground adulticide direct cost/acre	1	\$0.31	\$0.25	\$0.30	\$0.30
Ground larviciding direct cost/acre	1	\$34.05	\$21.17	\$22.25	\$25.50

	Significa	nt Changes			
There are no significant changes in this prog	gram.				
Personnel:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Full Time Equivalents		13.00	14.00	14.00	14.00
Funding Sources:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund Subsidy		1,596,326	1,909,531	1,978,521	1,944,181
Intergovernmental		47,310	47,310	50,688	50,000
Miscellaneous		81,105	9,500	9,500	9,500
Others (Centrl I/D Inkind/Othr)		47,310	47,310	50,688	50,000
	Total Program	1,772,051	2,013,651	2,089,397	2,053,681
Revenue by Fund:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund		1,677,431	1,919,031	1,988,021	1,953,681
Special Revenue Grants		94,620	94,620	101,376	100,000
	Total Program	1,772,051	2,013,651	2,089,397	2,053,681
Appropriations:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Personal Services Expenses		852,164	1,016,181	1,062,710	1,087,040
Operating Expenses		869,577	881,410	917,999	916,641
Capital Expenses		3,000	68,750	58,000	0
InKind Expense		47,310	47,310	50,688	50,000
	Total Program	1,772,051	2,013,651	2,089,397	2,053,681

#### **Water Resources**

Program Number:	1162
Result Area:	Natural Resources and Environment
Division:	Parks and Natural Resources
Section:	N/A

#### I. Program Offer Description:

The Water Resources Program enhances quality of life and protects human health and safety by protecting, restoring, and monitoring the water quality of lakes and streams. Water quality conditions are assessed through chemical analysis of lake and stream samples on a quarterly basis. Results are used to identify trends which can be used to assist in prioritizing projects to improve water quality through restoration and the completion of stormwater treatment projects. The continuing monitoring program is essential to tracking lake and stream conditions.

Good water quality supports a diversity of wildlife, plants, and fish. The Water Resources Program protects and improves water quality through pollution abatement by responding to reports of illicit discharges of potentially toxic chemicals. Pollution prevention is emphasized by inspecting point source facilities and stormwater treatment systems to confirm that they are operating properly. Public education on the value of water resources is accomplished through public events and multi-media presentations. The Water Resources Program also operates and maintains several water quality treatment facilities across the County and conducts scientific studies to better understand surface water resources.

#### II. Contribution to Result:

The Program tracks annual activities through the development of an annual report for the County's NPDES Stormwater permit.

#### Strategy #1: MAINTAIN / IMPROVE NATURAL RESOURCES

Protecting water quality is accomplished by inspecting wastewater treatment and industrial facilities for discharge of pollutants in stormwater runoff. Maintaining stormwater treatment best management practices (BMPs) ensures pollutant removal occurs prior to discharging to lakes or streams. Reports of poor water quality are investigated, and any illicit discharges that are discovered are eliminated through enforcement of County and State regulations. Brochures distributed at public events and stormwater public presentations are used to educate the public on the problems associated with illegal discharge and dumping.

Water quality is monitored at over 100 sites quarterly by analyzing water samples from lakes and streams to identify pollution sources. Results are evaluated annually for the DEP NPDES MS4 permit annual report. This is used to prioritize those with declining water quality for restoration.

Water quality is improved through implementation of stormwater BMPs and completion of lake restoration and stormwater treatment projects. Native vegetation that is planted to provide habitat for fish and wildlife helps filter nutrients to improve water quality and limits the growth of invasive exotic plants. Completed projects sites are accessible to the public and provide an opportunity for educational signage to encourage personal responsibility to reduce the pollution.

#### Strategy #2: PROVIDE / MAINTAIN / RESTORE NATURAL RESOURCES

Purchasing land for stormwater treatment projects protects the quality of natural areas that may otherwise be lost to development and provides buffers to adjacent surface waterbodies. This promotes native vegetation and provides habitat to improve wildlife diversity.

Restoration activities are conducted to comply with state and federally-mandated Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) which require monitoring to show improvement in water quality. The Water Resources Laboratory is staffed with competent, cross-trained staff for sampling, analysis, and reporting which is vital to project success.

#### Strategy #3: PUBLIC EDUCATION

Public education encourages citizens to be good stewards of water resources. This is accomplished through multi-media presentations, such as the Polk Water Atlas and participation at public events. Kiosks constructed at the stormwater treatment and restoration project sites provide signage with educational information on water quality and the impact of pollutants from stormwater runoff. Erosion and sediment control certification and illicit discharge training is provided to contractors and construction site inspectors to educate them on how to reduce contamination of soil, groundwater, and surface waters during construction.

Cooperative partnerships with state and local governments provide funding for projects and public education. Non-profit organizations that have public education programs with similar goals, such as the Lakes Education Action Drive, IFAS, and Keep Polk County Beautiful, allows Water Resources to partner and reach more people in raising public awareness.

This Program is: Not Mandated() Mandated: Federal (X) State (X) Local (X)

#### **Water Resources**

#### III. Performance Objectives:

- Collect water quality samples from lakes and streams or other sites as needed
- 2 Analyze samples to measure pollutant levels and report water quality data to federal and state databases Educate the public about water quality and safety of lakes, streams, and stormwater through presentations and
- 3 literature distributions at schools, public events, training sessions, and kiosks at stormwater management and restoration projects/sites
- Inspect industrial sites for compliance with NPDES stormwater quality regulations and County ordinances with regard to illicit discharges
  Inspect and maintain stormwater treatment facilities to improve water quality to the maximum extent practicable as
- required by the US Environmental Protection Agency and the County's NPDES MS4 permit issued by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection
- 6 Conduct stormwater sampling to assess representative surface water runoff quality
- 7 Update and submit an annual report to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection for the NPDES Program

#### IV. Measures:

	Key Obj.	Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23	
Workload Indicators:						
# water quality samples collected/received	1	945	975	1,000	1,000	
# water quality analyses performed and reported	2	16,566	15,000	15,330	15,330	
# education events and stormwater presentations conducted (County only)	3	6	15	10	10	
# priority industrial facility inspections conducted	4	18	24	18	18	
# structural controls and outfalls inspected and maintained	5	438	325	325	325	
# training classes for illicit discharge and erosion control	3	5	8	5	5	
# citizen water quality complaints	N/A	42	50	40	40	
# storm event samples collected	6	-	6	-	5	
Effectiveness Indicators:						
% analyses completed within holding time	2	100%	95%	95%	95%	
% industrial facility inspections conducted	4	100%	100%	100%	100%	
% stormwater facilities inspections completed	5	100%	100%	100%	100%	
% lakes maintaining or improving water quality (from NPDES annual report)	6	84%	60%	60%	60%	
NPDES annual report submitted	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
% storm event samples collected	6	N/A	0%	0%	100%	
Efficiency Indicators:						
average direct cost per sample collection	1	\$121.91	\$188.02	\$176.20	\$181.42	
average direct cost per sample analysis	2	\$14.64	\$17.48	\$13.41	\$13.76	
average direct cost of industrial inspection	4	\$147.25	\$155.00	\$162.75	\$170.89	
average direct cost for inspection/maintenance of stormwater	5	\$85.50	\$90.00	¢04 50	¢00.22	
management facilities	5	φοσ.50	φ90.00	\$94.50	\$99.23	
average direct cost of storm event sampling	6	\$722.00	\$760.00	\$798.00	\$837.90	
Significant (	Significant Changes					

The increase for FY 21/22 over the FY 20/21 budget provides for additional studies, watershed/water quality management plans, increased maintenance expenditures, and grant funded new/replacement lab equipment.

Personnel:		Actual	Budget	Adopted	Plan
		FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23
Full Time Equivalents		9.20	10.70	11.15	11.15
Funding Sources:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund Subsidy		904,708	1,073,115	1,146,048	1,104,192
Stormwater MSTU		99,904	1,111,144	2,161,138	1,080,851
Charges For Services		0	669	669	669
Interest		188,210	53,115	20,639	10,162
Interfund Transfer		12,000	17,500	0	0
Intergovernmental		0	0	355,000	0
Miscellaneous		23,623	18,050	18,050	18,050
Others (Centrl I/D Inkind/Othr)		0	0	60,000	0
	Total Program	1,228,445	2,273,593	3,761,544	2,213,924

### **Water Resources**

Revenue by Fund:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
General Fund Special Revenue Grants Stormwater MSTU	Total Program	923,331 0 305,114 1,228,445	1,082,334 0 1,191,259 2,273,593	1,155,267 415,000 2,191,277 3,761,544	1,113,411 0 1,100,513 2,213,924
Appropriations:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Personal Services Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Expenses Grants And Aids Interfund Transfers InKind Expense		659,272 461,353 61,385 15,012 31,423	908,331 1,179,520 139,000 15,012 31,730	911,177 2,292,450 445,000 21,016 31,901 60,000	945,001 1,215,860 0 21,018 32,045
	Total Program	1,228,445	2,273,593	3,761,544	2,213,924

#### **Landfill Operations**

Program Number:	1187
Result Area:	Natural Resources and Environment
Division:	Waste and Recycling
Section:	N/A

#### I. Program Offer Description:

Manage County-owned landfill operations while maintaining compliance with State-regulated operating permits. This program is mandated at the Local, State, and Federal levels. State: 403.706 & 403.7265. Local: Polk County Ordinances 82-20 & 13-069.

#### II. Contribution to Result:

This program provides County residents a facility which provides for solid waste disposal in a safe, efficient, and environmentally-responsible manner.

This Program is: Not Mandated ( ) Mandated: Federal ( X ) State ( X ) Local ( X )

#### III. Performance Objectives:

- Provide landfill operations that meet state mandated waste disposal capacity for all solid waste generated in Polk County
- 2 Maintain 100% compliance with operating permits and all state agencies
- 3 Divert 100% of household hazardous waste from entering landfills

	Key Obj.	Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Workload Indicators:					
Total Tons Processed	1	N/A	684,221.05	641,676.63	641,676.63
Cummulative Compaction Ratio (lb./CY)	3	N/A	1,600.00	1,600.00	1,600.00
% Tons Reused	2	N/A	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%
Total Scalehouse Transactions	1	N/A	202,357.00	206,404.14	206,404.14
Daily Scalehouse Transactions	1	N/A	721	736	736

	Significant Changes
There are no significant changes for this program.	

# **Landfill Operations**

Personnel:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Full Time Equivalents		44.00	44.00	44.00	44.00
Funding Sources:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Solid Waste Funds		7,726,054	4,724,234	5,113,519	5,629,401
Landfill Closure Funds		129,530	244,762	225,312	230,749
Enterprise Funds		199,317	0	0	0
Interest		634,747	0	0	0
Interfund Transfer		11,727	0	2,500,000	2,500,000
Miscellaneous		69,105	57,503	87,500	87,500
Others (Centrl I/D Inkind/Othr)		(997)	0	0	0
Special Assessment/Impact Fees		(541,193)	0	0	0
	Total Program	8,228,290	5,026,499	7,926,331	8,447,650
Revenue by Fund:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Solid Waste Funds		8,098,178	4,781,737	5,201,019	5,716,901
Landfill Closure Funds		130,112	244,762	2,725,312	2,730,749
	Total Program	8,228,290	5,026,499	7,926,331	8,447,650
Appropriations:		Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23
Personal Services Expenses		879,640	1,145,365	691,779	1,201,613
Operating Expenses		3,719,451	2,240,770	1,931,500	2,942,985
Capital Expenses		3,010,931	1,010,001	1,010,000	1,010,000
Interfund Transfers		121,806	120,000	3,620,000	2,620,000
Indirect Expense		496,462	510,363	673,052	673,052
	Total Program	8,228,290	5,026,499	7,926,331	8,447,650

#### **Residential Waste Management Collection**

Program Number:	1188
Result Area:	Natural Resources and Environment
Division:	Waste and Recycling
Section:	N/A

#### I. Program Offer Description:

Monitor the performance of contracted trash vendors while maintaining the solid waste assessment data base for the County's taxing authority. This program is mandated by Florida Statue 403.7049 & 403.706 and Local Statue- Polk Ordinances: 82-20 & 13-069.

#### II. Contribution to Result:

Provides the residents of unincorporated Polk County safe and efficient waste collection and disposal. Processes solid waste assessments on the tax bills for the residents of unincorporated Polk County.

This Program is: Not Mandated ( ) Mandated: Federal ( X ) State ( X ) Local ( X )

#### III. Performance Objectives:

- 1 Monitor performance of contracted haulers
- 2 Maintain the data base for solid waste assessment for taxing authority of Polk County
- 3 Provide weekly collection services (garbage (1), yard waste (1), and recyclables (1) for residential customers

	Key	Actual	Adopted	Budget	Plan
	Obj.	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23
Workload Indicators:					
Number of Residential Units Receiving Curbside Collection Services	1,2,3	N/A	151,165	151,147	151,147
Number of Tons of Waste Transported by Contracted Haulers	1,2,3	N/A	210,813.94	272,064.60	272,064.60
Generation of Solid Waste per Household Unit (lb./day)	1,2,3	N/A	7.64	9.86	9.86
Collection Cost per Scheduled Residential Pick-up *	1,2,3	N/A	\$0.93	\$0.93	\$0.93
Effectiveness Indicators:					
Annual Garbage Disposal Cost	1,2,3	N/A	\$45.15	\$52.16	\$52.16
Weekly Garbage Disposal Cost	1,2,3	N/A	\$0.87	\$1.00	\$1.00
Annual Yardwaste Disposal Cost	1,2,3	N/A	\$6.85	\$9.86	\$9.86
Weekly Yardwaste Disposal Cost	1,2,3	N/A	\$0.13	\$0.19	\$0.19
Percentage of Diverted Dry Waste	1,2,3	N/A	11%	9%	9%
Percentage of Diverted Dry Waste and Organics	1,2,3	N/A	21%	30%	30%
Efficiency Indicators:					
Number of Inbound Calls	1,2,3	N/A	181,019	181,019	181,019
Average Number of Agents	1,2,3	N/A	7.50	8.00	8.00
Average Number of Calls by Agent	1,2,3	N/A	24,136	22,627	22,627
Average Call Duration	1,2,3	N/A	0:02:58	0:02:50	0:02:50
Calls Answered (%)	1,2,3	N/A	86%	95%	95%
Calls Abandoned (%)	1,2,3	N/A	14%	7%	7%
Calls Associated to Missed Collections (%)	1,2,3	N/A	25%	25%	25%

Significant Changes									
There are no significant changes for this program.									
Personnel:	Actual	Budget	Adopted	Plar					
	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23					
Full Time Equivalents	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00					
Funding Sources:	Actual	Budget	Adopted	Plan					
	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23					
Solid Waste Funds	88,596	24,778	26,203	27,096					
Universal Solid Waste Collection Funds	17,896,866	20,743,398	22,321,477	21,763,764					
Interest	16,072	0	0	0					
Miscellaneous	47,307	80,000	70,000	70,000					
Special Assessment/Impact Fees	7,664,566	8,059,489	9,576,442	8,298,280					
Total Program	25,713,407	28,907,665	31,994,122	30,159,140					
Revenue by Fund:	Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23					
Solid Waste Funds	88,596	24,778	26,203	27,096					
Universal Solid Waste Collection Funds	25,624,811	28,882,887	31,967,919	30,132,044					
Total Program	25,713,407	28,907,665	31,994,122	30,159,140					
Appropriations:	Actual FY 19/20	Budget FY 20/21	Adopted FY 21/22	Plan FY 22/23					
Personal Services Expenses	2,169,782	2,858,984	3,601,300	3,247,406					
Operating Expenses	23,497,452	26,004,660	28,265,360	26,784,272					
Capital Expenses	3,446	0	0	(					
Indirect Expense	42,727	44,021	127,462	127,462					
Total Program	25,713,407	28,907,665	31,994,122	30,159,140					